



Available at
WWW.MATHEMATICSWEB.ORG
 POWERED BY SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

**JOURNAL OF
 Algebra**

Journal of Algebra 266 (2003) 362–373

www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra

Two-dimensional vector invariant rings of Abelian p -groups[☆]

Jianjun Chuai

Department of Mathematics & Statistics, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario K7L 3N6, Canada

Received 15 January 2003

Communicated by Craig Huneke

Abstract

For any faithful representation V of a non-trivial p -group over a field of characteristic $p > 0$, it is known that the ring of vector invariants of m copies of V is not Cohen–Macaulay if $m \geq 3$. However, much less is known about the case $m = 2$. In this paper we show that, if $m = 2$ and the group is an Abelian p -group, then the ring of invariants of $2V$ is a complete intersection in some cases and is not Cohen–Macaulay in most cases. As a corollary we obtain that if the field is \mathbb{F}_p and the ring of invariants of the representation V is a polynomial ring, then the ring of invariants of $2V$ is either a complete intersection or not Cohen–Macaulay.

© 2003 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

We let V be a vector space of dimension n over a field \mathbf{F} of characteristic $p \geq 0$ and let $\mathbf{F}[V]$ be the symmetric algebra of V^* (the dual of V). If $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis for V^* , then $\mathbf{F}[V]$ can be identified with the polynomial ring $\mathbf{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Let $G \subseteq GL(V)$ be a finite group. Then the elements of G act on $\mathbf{F}[V]$ as algebra automorphisms and we form the subring $\mathbf{F}[V]^G$ of G -invariant polynomials.

It is well-known that $\mathbf{F}[V]^G$ is Cohen–Macaulay if p does not divide the order of G ([4], see [1, Section 6.4]), but $\mathbf{F}[V]^G$ often fails to be Cohen–Macaulay if p divides the order of G . Good examples of the latter case are given by vector invariants. Let mV be the direct sum of m copies of V and let G act on mV diagonally. Then $\mathbf{F}[mV]^G$ is called

[☆] This research partially supported by NSERC.
 E-mail address: chuai@mast.queensu.ca.

the (m -dimensional) ring of vector invariants (see [13]). If p divides $|G|$, Kemper proved in [5] that $\mathbf{F}[mV]^G$ is not Cohen–Macaulay for sufficiently large m . Furthermore, if G is a non-trivial p -group it is shown in [2] that $\mathbf{F}[mV]^G$ is not Cohen–Macaulay if $m \geq 3$. The purpose of this paper is to investigate the structure of the ring $\mathbf{F}[2V]^G$ when G is an Abelian p -group.

2. Preliminaries

In studying whether or not the two-dimensional vector invariant ring $\mathbf{F}[2V]^G$ is Cohen–Macaulay we need only to consider the case where $\mathbf{F}[V]^G$ is Cohen–Macaulay as the following result shows.

Proposition 2.1. *If $\mathbf{F}[2V]^G$ is Cohen–Macaulay, then $\mathbf{F}[V]^G$ is Cohen–Macaulay.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{F}[2V] = \mathbf{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n]$. It is easy to see that

$$\mathbf{F}[2V]^G = \mathbf{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^G \oplus ((y_1, \dots, y_n)\mathbf{F}[2V])^G.$$

If $\{h_1, \dots, h_n\}$ is a homogeneous system of parameters for $\mathbf{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^G$, then it is a partial homogeneous system of parameters for $\mathbf{F}[2V]^G$ and thus $\mathbf{F}[2V]^G$ is a free $A := \mathbf{F}[h_1, \dots, h_n]$ -module (of infinite rank) since $\mathbf{F}[2V]^G$ is Cohen–Macaulay by assumption. It follows that $\mathbf{F}[V]^G$ is a projective, hence free, A -module (see, for example, [12, Proposition 6.1.1]). Thus $\mathbf{F}[V]^G$ is Cohen–Macaulay. \square

In the rest of this paper we assume that $p > 0$. We know that for any p -group $P \subseteq GL(V)$ if $\mathbf{F}[2V]^P$ is Cohen–Macaulay then P can be generated by bireflections as a group acting on $2V$ (see [5, Corollary 3.7]), or equivalently, by reflections as a group acting on V . Recall that a reflection fixes point-wise a proper hyperplane in V , while a bireflection fixes point-wise a subspace of co-dimension 2 or 1. By this result, in order to study conditions under which $\mathbf{F}[2V]^P$ is or is not Cohen–Macaulay, we may assume that P is generated by reflections. If \mathbf{F} is a finite field, the upper unipotent group U_n (namely, the group of upper triangular matrices with 1's along the diagonal) is a Sylow p -subgroup of $GL(V)$. So every p -subgroup of $GL(V)$ can be assumed to be a subgroup of U_n . Shank and Wehlau proved that $\mathbb{F}_p[2V]^{U_n}$ is not Cohen–Macaulay for $n > 2$ and $p > 2$ (see [8, Theorem 8.7]). In fact, they proved this theorem for $n = 3$, but by considering a stabilizer subgroup and using induction on n their result generalizes. So we have shown that for the biggest reflection p -group U_n , the ring $\mathbb{F}_p[2V]^{U_n}$ is not Cohen–Macaulay when $n > 2$ and $p > 2$. Of course, this group is not Abelian.

In the rest of this paper we will assume that the group G is a non-trivial Abelian p -group generated by reflections unless stated otherwise. In this setting, let us show first that under a certain carefully chosen basis of V the matrix of G takes a particular form. To this end, let $\{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$ be a minimal set of generating reflections for G . Each g_i has order p (this is easy to see directly), so in fact G is an elementary Abelian p -group.

Definition 2.2. By definition, we have for each reflection g_i a *root vector* v_i , that is, a vector with the property that $(g_i - 1)(V) = \mathbf{F}v_i$. We note that $v_i \in V^G$ (see [9, Proposition 2.2]).

We define the root space of V , denoted $\mathcal{R}(G, V)$, to be $\sum_{g \in G} (g - 1)V$.

To explain the above definition of root space, note that from the identity

$$(g_i g_j - 1) = (g_i - 1)(g_j - 1) + (g_i - 1) + (g_j - 1)$$

applied to vectors of V and the fact that $v_i \in V^G$ we see that $\mathcal{R}(G, V)$ is in fact spanned by $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$. In particular we have $\mathcal{R}(G, V) \subseteq V^G$.

For the Abelian reflection p -group G , let $d(G, V)$ denote $\dim(\mathcal{R}(G, V))$ and $r(G)$ denote the rank of G . Of course we have $d(G, V) \leq r(G)$. Now assume $d(G, V) = d$ and let $\{v_1, \dots, v_d\}$ be linearly independent. Furthermore, let $\dim(V^G) = s$. We can choose a basis $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ for V such that $u_i = v_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$ and $\{u_1, \dots, u_s\}$ is a basis for V^G . Then under this basis each element g of G has the following form of matrix representation:

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} I_d & 0 & A \\ 0 & I_{s-d} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{n-s} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In what follows the above matrix representation of G will play an important role in the proofs of the results.

We have seen that $d(G, V) \leq r(G)$. The analysis of the structure of $\mathbf{F}[2V]^G$ depends entirely on whether or not $d(G, V)$ equals $r(G)$. In particular, we can prove that $\mathbf{F}[2V]^G$ is a complete intersection when the root space has maximal dimension. Further, Ian Hughes has conjectured

Conjecture. If $\mathbf{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$ and $d(G, V) < r(G)$, then $\mathbf{F}[2V]^G$ is not Cohen–Macaulay.

We are able to prove the conjecture is true in an important special case.

3. The case $d(G, V) = r(G)$

Let $P \subseteq GL(V)$ be a p -group. Then P is called a Nakajima-group if there is a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of V^* such that under this basis P is upper triangular and such that $P = P_1 P_2 \cdots P_n$, where

$$P_i = \{g \in G \mid gx_j = x_j \text{ for } j \neq i\}.$$

Clearly, every Nakajima-group is a reflection group. Further, the invariant ring of each Nakajima group is a polynomial ring generated by the orbit-products of the x_i 's (see [7]). In the case that $\mathbf{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$, P is a Nakajima-group if and only if $\mathbf{F}[V]^P$ is a polynomial ring (see [10], or [3, Theorem 2.1]).

Recall that a finitely generated graded algebra A of Krull dimension m is called a complete intersection if there is a polynomial algebra R in $m + s$ variables and a homogeneous ideal $I \triangleleft R$ generated by s elements such that $R/I \cong A$.

We now come to the proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Assume that G is an Abelian p -group generated by reflections g_1, \dots, g_r , and assume that under a basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ of V with V^G being spanned by $\{v_1, \dots, v_s\}$,

$$(g_i - 1)(v_j) = a_{ij}v_i, \quad a_{ij} \in \mathbf{F},$$

for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $s + 1 \leq j \leq n$. Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be the dual basis of $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and let

$$\mathbf{F}[2V] = \mathbf{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n].$$

Define

$$\ell_i(x) := a_{is+1}x_{s+1} + \dots + a_{in}x_n,$$

$$\ell_i(y) := a_{is+1}y_{s+1} + \dots + a_{in}y_n,$$

$$h_i := \ell_i(x)y_i - x_i\ell_i(y),$$

$$N(x_i) := x_i^p - x_i\ell_i(x)^{p-1},$$

$$N(y_i) := y_i^p - y_i\ell_i(y)^{p-1}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Further, let

$$A_r = \mathbf{F}[x_i, y_i, N(x_j), N(y_j) \mid r + 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq r].$$

Then

$$\mathbf{F}[V]^G = \mathbf{F}[x_i, N(x_j) \mid r + 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq r]$$

is a polynomial ring and

$$\mathbf{F}[2V]^G = \bigoplus_{0 \leq i_j < p} A_r h_1^{i_1} \dots h_r^{i_r}$$

is a complete intersection.

Proof. First, note that for each i , $\ell_i(x)$, $\ell_i(y)$, h_i , $N(x_i)$, $N(y_i)$ are all invariants.

It is clear that G is a Nakajima group with respect to the basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, so the first result follows immediately. It is easy to see that

$$\{x_i, y_i, N(x_j), N(y_j) \mid r + 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq r\}$$

is a homogeneous system of parameters for $\mathbf{F}[2V]^G$ and thus A_r is a polynomial ring. We prove the second result by induction on r .

Let $r = 1$. Without loss of generality we may assume $a_{1s+1} \neq 0$. Then $\{x_1, \dots, x_s, \ell_1(x), x_{s+2}, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis for V^* , $(g_1 - 1)x_1 = -\ell_1(x)$ and g_1 fixes the other elements of this basis. Let

$$B_1 = \mathbf{F}[x_2, \dots, x_s, \ell_1(x), x_{s+2}, \dots, x_n, y_2, \dots, y_s, \ell_1(y), y_{s+2}, \dots, y_n, N(x_1), N(y_1)].$$

Then by [11, Proposition 11],

$$\mathbf{F}[2V]^G = \bigoplus_{0 \leq i < p} B_1 h_1^i.$$

But clearly we have $B_1 = A_1$. So the result follows in this case.

Now let $r > 1$ and assume

$$\mathbf{F}[2V]^{(g_1, \dots, g_{r-1})} = \bigoplus_{0 \leq i_j < p} A_{r-1} h_1^{i_1} \cdots h_{r-1}^{i_{r-1}},$$

where

$$A_{r-1} = \mathbf{F}[x_i, y_i, N(x_j), N(y_j) \mid r \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq r-1].$$

Then we have

$$\mathbf{F}[2V]^G = \bigoplus_{0 \leq i_j < p} A_{r-1}^{g_r} h_1^{i_1} \cdots h_{r-1}^{i_{r-1}}.$$

Moreover,

$$A_{r-1}^{g_r} = \mathbf{F}[x_i, y_i \mid r \leq i \leq n]^{g_r} \otimes_{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{F}[N(x_j), N(y_j) \mid 1 \leq j \leq r-1].$$

But by the proof of the case $r = 1$ we have

$$\mathbf{F}[x_i, y_i \mid r \leq i \leq n]^{g_r} = \bigoplus_{0 \leq i < p} \mathbf{F}[x_i, y_i, N(x_r), N(y_r) \mid r+1 \leq i \leq n] h_r^i.$$

So we get

$$\mathbf{F}[2V]^G = \bigoplus_{0 \leq i_j < p} A_r h_1^{i_1} \cdots h_r^{i_r}.$$

Now each h_i satisfies a degree p monic polynomial over A_r :

$$h_i^p - (\ell_i(x)\ell_i(y))^{p-1} h_i + \ell_i(y)^p N(x_i) - \ell_i(x)^p N(y_i) = 0,$$

we see that $\mathbf{F}[2V]^G$ is a complete intersection. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let G be an Abelian reflection p -group. If $d(G, V) = r(G)$, then $\mathbf{F}[V]^G$ is a polynomial ring and $\mathbf{F}[2V]^G$ is a complete intersection.*

Proof. Let $r(G) = r$ and let g_1, \dots, g_r be a minimal generating set of G with $(g_i - 1)V = \mathbf{F}v_i$. Since $d(G, V) = r(G)$, $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ is a linearly independent set. Thus there is a basis of V which extends $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ and contains a basis of V^G . By Theorem 3.1 the results follow. \square

Example 3.3. Assume that under a basis of V ,

$$G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \alpha_1 \\ & 1 & & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & \alpha_{n-1} \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid \alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}_p \right\}.$$

Then $\mathbf{F}[2V]^G$ is a complete intersection.

4. The case $d(G, V) < r(G)$

We recall the

Conjecture (Ian Hughes). *If $\mathbf{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$ and $d(G, V) < r(G)$, then $\mathbf{F}[2V]^G$ is not Cohen–Macaulay.*

In this section we prove the above conjecture in an important case. For any finite group N , any field \mathbf{k} , and any $\mathbf{k}N$ -module M let $H^i(N, M)$ denote the i th cohomology group of N with coefficients in M . In the case where U is a finite dimensional vector space over \mathbf{k} and $N \subseteq GL(U)$ is a finite group, there is a natural way to view $H^i(N, \mathbf{k}[U])$ as a $\mathbf{k}[U]^N$ -module. We will need the following result (see [5, Corollary 1.6]).

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that $H^i(N, \mathbf{k}[U]) = 0$ for $1 \leq i < j$, where $j > 0$, and $0 \neq \phi \in H^j(N, \mathbf{k}[U])$. Then*

$$\text{depth}_{\text{Ann}_{\mathbf{k}[U]^N}(\phi)}(\mathbf{k}[U]^N) = \min\{j + 1, \text{height}(\text{Ann}_{\mathbf{k}[U]^N}(\phi))\}.$$

In particular, $\mathbf{k}[U]^N$ is not Cohen–Macaulay if $\text{height}(\text{Ann}_{\mathbf{k}[U]^N}(\phi)) > j + 1$.

Note that if N is a non-trivial p -group and \mathbf{k} has characteristic p , there are non-trivial group homomorphisms from N to \mathbf{k}^+ and these homomorphisms can be viewed as non-zero elements of $H^1(N, \mathbf{k})$ and hence of $H^1(N, \mathbf{k}[U])$. In particular, $H^1(N, \mathbf{k}[U]) \neq 0$ in this case.

If X is a subset of U , denote by $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{k}[U]^N}(X)$ the vanishing ideal of $\mathbf{k}[U]^N$ on X . We have the following result (see [5, Proposition 3.5]).

Lemma 4.2. *Assume that \mathbf{k} is an algebraically closed field and let $\phi \in H^1(N, \mathbf{k})$ be nonzero with kernel $H \triangleleft N$. Then for $I = \text{Ann}_{\mathbf{k}[U]^N}(\phi)$ we have*

$$\sqrt{I} = \bigcap_{g \in N \setminus H} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{k}[U]^N}(U^g).$$

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\mathbf{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$ and assume that G is an Abelian reflection p -group. If there exist reflections $g, h \in G$ such that $V^g \neq V^h$ and gh is a reflection, then $\mathbf{F}[2V]^G$ is not Cohen–Macaulay.*

Proof. Since $V^g \neq V^h$, $g^i h^j = 1$ if and only if $i \equiv j \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. So g and h are linearly independent when viewing G as a vector space over \mathbb{F}_p . Thus $\{g, h\}$ is contained in a minimal generating set of G consisting of reflections. Let $r(G) = r$ and assume that $\{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$ is such a set with $g_1 = g$ and $g_2 = h$.

Let $d(G, V) = d$ and let $\dim(V^G) = s$ (thus $d \leq s$). As mentioned early there is a basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ of V such that under this basis G has the following matrix representation:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_d & 0 & A_g \\ 0 & I_{s-d} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{n-s} \end{pmatrix} \mid g \in G \right\}.$$

Since $\text{rank}(A_{g_i}) = 1$, we can write $A_{g_i} = \beta_i \cdot \alpha_i$, where $\beta_i \in \mathbf{F}^d$ (column vectors) and $\alpha_i \in \mathbf{F}^{n-s}$ (row vectors). Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be the dual basis of $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and let $\mathbf{F}[2V] = \mathbf{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n]$. Then it is easy to see that the linear form $\ell_i := \alpha_i \cdot (x_{s+1}, \dots, x_n)^t$ defines the hyperplane V^{g_i} . Since $V^{g_1} \neq V^{g_2}$, α_1 and α_2 are linearly independent. Since $g_1 g_2$ is a reflection, we can write $A_{g_1 g_2} = \beta \cdot \alpha$. Now from $\beta \cdot \alpha = \beta_1 \cdot \alpha_1 + \beta_2 \cdot \alpha_2$ and the fact that α_1 and α_2 are linearly independent we can see easily that $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbf{F}\beta$. Thus the matrix (β_1, β_2) has rank 1 and therefore we can find $B \in GL_d(\mathbf{F})$ such that both vectors $B \cdot \beta_1$ and $B \cdot \beta_2$ have all entries zeros except the first ones. We may assume $B \cdot \beta_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^t$ and $B \cdot \beta_2 = (b, 0, \dots, 0)^t$ with $b \neq 0$. Since α_1 and α_2 are linearly independent, there exists $C \in GL_{n-s}(\mathbf{F})$ such that $\alpha_1 \cdot C = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $\alpha_2 \cdot C = (0, b^{-1}, 0, \dots, 0)$. Note that

$$\begin{pmatrix} B & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{s-d} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_d & 0 & A \\ 0 & I_{s-d} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{n-s} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{s-d} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I_d & 0 & BAC \\ 0 & I_{s-d} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{n-s} \end{pmatrix}.$$

So we may assume that under the basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$,

$$A_{g_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & & \dots & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A_{g_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & & \dots & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let \mathbf{k} be an algebraic closure of \mathbf{F} ($=\mathbb{F}_p$) and $\bar{V} = \mathbf{k} \otimes_{\mathbf{F}} V$. To show that $\mathbf{F}[2V]^G$ is not Cohen–Macaulay we need only to show that $\mathbf{k}[\bar{V}]^G$ is not Cohen–Macaulay (see the proof of [5, Theorem 2.3]). For simplicity we still use \mathbf{F} to denote \mathbf{k} and use V to denote \bar{V} . We now prove the theorem by using induction on $t := n - \dim(V^G) = n - s$. First assume $t = 2$.

For any $g \in G$, let

$$A_g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_g & \beta_g \\ * & * \\ \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define the group homomorphisms

$$\phi, \psi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^+ \subset \mathbf{F}^+$$

by $\phi(g) = \alpha_g$ and $\psi(g) = \beta_g$ and let $N = \ker(\phi)$. Then for $I = \text{Ann}_{\mathbf{F}[2V]^G}(\phi)$,

$$\sqrt{I} = \bigcap_{g \in G \setminus N} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{F}[2V]^G}((2V)^g)$$

by Lemma 4.2. We have $\alpha_g x_{n-1} + \beta_g x_n, \alpha_g y_{n-1} + \beta_g y_n \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{F}[2V]^G}((2V)^g)$ and $\alpha_g \neq 0$ for $g \in G \setminus N$. Thus we have $f := y_{n-1}(x_{n-1}^{p-1} - x_n^{p-1}) \in \sqrt{I}$. We assert that $f \cdot \phi \neq 0$. Otherwise, there would exist an $h \in \mathbf{F}[2V]^N \subseteq \mathbf{F}[2V]^{(g_2)}$ such that $(g_1 - 1)h = f$. By [11, Proposition 11] we know that

$$\mathbf{F}[2V]^{(g_2)} = \mathbf{F}[x_2, \dots, x_n, y_2, \dots, y_n, x_1^p - x_1 x_n^{p-1}, y_1^p - y_1 y_n^{p-1}, x_1 y_n - x_n y_1].$$

By comparing y -degrees we may assume $h = f_1 \cdot (x_1 y_n - x_n y_1)$ with $f_1 \in \mathbf{F}[x_2, \dots, x_n]$. But clearly $(g_1 - 1)h = f_1 \cdot (x_n y_{n-1} - x_{n-1} y_n) \neq f$ because y_{n-1} does not divide $f_1 \cdot (x_n y_{n-1} - x_{n-1} y_n)$. So $f \cdot \phi \neq 0$. Now from

$$\begin{aligned} (g - 1)(y_1(x_n^p - x_n x_{n-1}^{p-1})) &= -(\alpha_g y_{n-1} + \beta_g y_n)(x_n^p - x_n x_{n-1}^{p-1}) \\ &= x_n f \cdot \phi(g) - y_n(x_n^p - x_n x_{n-1}^{p-1}) \cdot \psi(g) \\ &= (x_n f \cdot \phi - y_n(x_n^p - x_n x_{n-1}^{p-1}) \cdot \psi)(g) \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$x_n f \cdot \phi - y_n(x_n^p - x_n x_{n-1}^{p-1}) \cdot \psi = 0.$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
(g-1)(x_1x_{n-1}^{p-1}-x_1^p) &= -(\alpha_gx_{n-1}+\beta_gx_n)x_{n-1}^{p-1}+(\alpha_gx_{n-1}^p+\beta_gx_n^p) \\
&= \beta_g(x_n^p-x_nx_{n-1}^{p-1}) \\
&= ((x_n^p-x_nx_{n-1}^{p-1})\cdot\psi)(g).
\end{aligned}$$

So we have $(x_n^p-x_nx_{n-1}^{p-1})\cdot\psi=0$ and thus $x_n\in\text{Ann}_{\mathbf{F}[2V]^G}(f\cdot\phi):=J$.

It is easy to see that $x_{n-1}^p-x_{n-1}x_n^{p-1}$, $y_{n-1}^p-y_{n-1}y_n^{p-1}\in\sqrt{I}\subseteq\sqrt{J}$ and that $x_{n-1}^p-x_{n-1}x_n^{p-1}$, $y_{n-1}^p-y_{n-1}y_n^{p-1}$, x_n form a partial system of parameters. So

$$\text{height}(J)=\text{height}(\sqrt{J})\geq 3$$

and by Lemma 4.1, $\mathbf{F}[2V]^G$ is not Cohen–Macaulay.

Now assume $t>2$. Then we consider the stabilizer subgroup G_{v_n} of G . Clearly, $\{g_1, g_2\}\subset G_{v_n}$. If G_{v_n} can not be generated by reflections, then $\mathbf{F}[2V]^{G_{v_n}}$ is not Cohen–Macaulay, and thus $\mathbf{F}[2V]^G$ is not also (see [6, Theorem A]). If G_{v_n} is generated by reflections, then since $n-\dim(V^{G_{v_n}})<t$ and G_{v_n} satisfies the assumptions of the theorem, by induction $\mathbf{F}[2V]^{G_{v_n}}$ is not Cohen–Macaulay. It follows from [6, Theorem A] again that $\mathbf{F}[2V]^G$ is not Cohen–Macaulay. \square

In the case that G is an Abelian Nakajima-group we have the following result.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $\mathbf{F}=\mathbb{F}_p$ and let G be an Abelian Nakajima p -group. Assume that $d(G, V)<r(G)$. Then $\mathbf{F}[2V]^G$ is not Cohen–Macaulay.*

Proof. Since G is a Nakajima group, there is a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of V^* under which G is upper triangular and

$$G=G_1G_2\cdots G_n,$$

where

$$G_i=\{g\in G\mid gx_j=x_j\text{ for }j\neq i\}.$$

Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}\subseteq V$ be the basis dual to $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Assume $G_{i_j}\neq\{1\}$ for $1\leq j\leq s$ and $1\leq i_1<i_2<\cdots<i_s\leq n$. Then $G=G_{i_1}\times\cdots\times G_{i_s}$. If $\text{rank}(G_{i_j})=1$ for all j , then $s=r(G)$. Thus $\mathcal{R}(G, V)=\text{Span}\{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_s}\}$ has dimension $r(G)$, a contradiction. So there exists a j such that $\text{rank}(G_{i_j})>1$. It follows that there are reflections $g, h\in G_{i_j}$ with $V^g\neq V^h$ and gh a reflection. By Theorem 4.3 the result follows. \square

We have mentioned earlier that if $\mathbf{F}=\mathbb{F}_p$ and $P\subseteq GL(V)$ is a p -group, P is a Nakajima-group if and only if $\mathbf{F}[V]^P$ is a polynomial ring. Now combining Corollary 3.2 with Corollary 4.4 we have

Corollary 4.5. Let $\mathbf{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$ and assume that $G \subseteq GL(V)$ is an Abelian p -group such that $\mathbf{F}[V]^G$ is a polynomial ring. Then $\mathbf{F}[2V]^G$ is either a complete intersection or not a Cohen–Macaulay ring. More precisely, if $d(G, V) = r(G)$, then $\mathbf{F}[2V]^G$ is a complete intersection, and if $d(G, V) < r(G)$, then $\mathbf{F}[2V]^G$ is not Cohen–Macaulay.

The following example illustrates the above corollary.

Example 4.6. Let $\mathbf{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$ and assume that under a basis of V the group G takes the following form:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_d & A \\ 0 & I_{n-d} \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in M_{d \times (n-d)}(\mathbf{F}) \right\}.$$

Then $\mathbf{F}[2V]^G$ is a complete intersection if $n = d + 1$ and not Cohen–Macaulay if $n > d + 1$.

5. An example

We know that for a p -group $G \subseteq GL(V)$, if $\mathbf{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$, then $\mathbf{F}[V]^G$ is a polynomial ring if and only if G is a Nakajima group. But this result does not extend to representations of p -groups over bigger fields: there are p -groups with polynomial invariants which are not Nakajima groups. One example of such a group is due to Stong (see [7, Example 4.5]). Our interest here is to study the structure of the two-dimensional vector invariant ring of this group. I am grateful to Eddy Campbell for suggesting I study this example.

Example 5.1. Here we consider $\mathbf{k}[2V]^G$, where $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{F}_{p^3}$, $V^* = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle$, G acts on V^* and, with respect to the basis $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$,

$$G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha + \gamma v & \beta + \gamma w \\ & 1 & 0 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_p \right\},$$

where $\{1, v, w\}$ is a basis for \mathbf{k} over \mathbb{F}_p . Then $\mathbf{k}[2V]^G$ is Cohen–Macaulay.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{k}[2V] = \mathbf{k}[x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3]$. We denote by H the subgroup of G consisting of those elements with $\gamma = 0$, and by σ the element of G with $\alpha = \beta = 0$ and $\gamma = 1$. Let $L = \langle \sigma \rangle$. Then $G = H \times L$, and by Theorem 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{k}[2V]^H \\ &= \bigoplus_{0 \leq i, j < p} \mathbf{k}[x_1, y_1, x_2^p - x_2 x_1^{p-1}, x_3^p - x_3 x_1^{p-1}, y_2^p - y_2 y_1^{p-1}, y_3^p - y_3 y_1^{p-1}] h_1^i h_2^j \\ &= \bigoplus_{0 \leq i_k < p} \mathbf{k}[x_1^p, y_1^p, x_2^p - x_2 x_1^{p-1}, x_3^p - x_3 x_1^{p-1}, y_2^p - y_2 y_1^{p-1}, y_3^p - y_3 y_1^{p-1}] \\ & \quad \times x_1^{i_1} y_1^{i_2} h_1^{i_3} h_2^{i_4}, \end{aligned}$$

where $h_1 = x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1$ and $h_2 = x_1 y_3 - x_3 y_1$. Note that x_1, y_1, h_1, h_2 are all G -invariants and that

$$\begin{aligned}(\sigma - 1)(x_2^p - x_2 x_1^{p-1}) &= (v^p - v)x_1^p, \\(\sigma - 1)(x_3^p - x_3 x_1^{p-1}) &= (w^p - w)x_1^p, \\(\sigma - 1)(y_2^p - y_2 y_1^{p-1}) &= (v^p - v)y_1^p, \\(\sigma - 1)(y_3^p - y_3 y_1^{p-1}) &= (w^p - w)y_1^p,\end{aligned}$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{k}[2V]^G &= \bigoplus_{0 \leq i_k < p} \mathbf{k}[x_1^p, y_1^p, x_2^p - x_2 x_1^{p-1}, x_3^p - x_3 x_1^{p-1}, y_2^p - y_2 y_1^{p-1}, y_3^p - y_3 y_1^{p-1}]^L \\&\quad \times x_1^{i_1} y_1^{i_2} h_1^{i_3} h_2^{i_4}.\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}u_1 &= (x_2^p - x_2 x_1^{p-1})^p - (v^p - v)^{p-1} (x_2^p - x_2 x_1^{p-1}) x_1^{p(p-1)}, \\u_2 &= (y_2^p - y_2 y_1^{p-1})^p - (v^p - v)^{p-1} (y_2^p - y_2 y_1^{p-1}) y_1^{p(p-1)}, \\u_3 &= (w^p - w)(x_2^p - x_2 x_1^{p-1}) - (v^p - v)(x_3^p - x_3 x_1^{p-1}), \\u_4 &= (w^p - w)(y_2^p - y_2 y_1^{p-1}) - (v^p - v)(y_3^p - y_3 y_1^{p-1}), \\h_3 &= (v^p - v)x_1^p (y_2^p - y_2 y_1^{p-1}) - (v^p - v)y_1^p (x_2^p - x_2 x_1^{p-1}).\end{aligned}$$

Then they are all G -invariants. We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{k}[x_1^p, y_1^p, x_2^p - x_2 x_1^{p-1}, x_3^p - x_3 x_1^{p-1}, y_2^p - y_2 y_1^{p-1}, y_3^p - y_3 y_1^{p-1}]^L \\&= \mathbf{k}[(v^p - v)x_1^p, (v^p - v)y_1^p, x_2^p - x_2 x_1^{p-1}, y_2^p - y_2 y_1^{p-1}]^L \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k}[u_3, u_4] \\&= \left(\bigoplus_{0 \leq i < p} \mathbf{k}[x_1^p, y_1^p, u_1, u_2] h_3^i \right) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k}[u_3, u_4] \quad (\text{by [11, Proposition 11]}) \\&= \bigoplus_{0 \leq i < p} \mathbf{k}[x_1^p, y_1^p, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4] h_3^i.\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{k}[2V]^G &= \bigoplus_{0 \leq i_k < p} \mathbf{k}[x_1^p, y_1^p, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4] x_1^{i_1} y_1^{i_2} h_1^{i_3} h_2^{i_4} h_3^{i_5} \\&= \bigoplus_{0 \leq i, j, k < p} \mathbf{k}[x_1, y_1, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4] h_1^i h_2^j h_3^k.\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\{x_1, y_1, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}$ is a homogeneous system of parameters for $\mathbf{k}[2V]^G$, therefore $\mathbf{k}[2V]^G$ is Cohen–Macaulay. \square

Example 5.1 shows that Hughes’ Conjecture does not extend to bigger fields since, in this example, $d(G, V) = 2 < r(G) = 3$ and $\mathbf{k}[2V]^G$ is a Cohen–Macaulay ring. This example also shows that the second part of Corollary 4.5 does not hold if $\mathbf{F} \neq \mathbb{F}_p$.

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to Gregor Kemper for ideas which are essential for the proof of Theorem 4.3, and to Eddy Campbell, Ian Hughes and David Wehlau for their encouragement, help and suggestions. He is also grateful to the referee for helpful comments.

References

- [1] W. Bruns, J. Herzog, Cohen–Macaulay rings, in: Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., Vol. 39, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [2] H.E.A. Campbell, A.V. Geramita, I.P. Hughes, R.J. Shank, D.L. Wehlau, Non-Cohen–Macaulay vector invariants and a Noether bound for a Gorenstein ring of invariants, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 42 (2) (1999) 155–161.
- [3] H.E.A. Campbell, I.P. Hughes, Rings of invariants of certain p -groups over the field F_p , *J. Algebra* 211 (1999) 549–561.
- [4] J.A. Eagon, M. Hochster, Cohen–Macaulay rings, invariant theory, and the generic perfection of determinantal loci, *Amer. J. Math.* 93 (1971) 1020–1058.
- [5] G. Kemper, On the Cohen–Macaulay property of modular invariant rings, *J. Algebra* 215 (1999) 330–351.
- [6] G. Kemper, Loci in quotients of finite groups, pointwise stabilizers and the Buchsbaum property, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 547 (2002) 69–96.
- [7] R.J. Shank, D.L. Wehlau, The transfer in modular invariant theory, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 142 (1) (1999) 63–77.
- [8] R.J. Shank, D.L. Wehlau, Computing modular invariants of p -groups, *J. Symbolic Computation*, to appear.
- [9] H. Nakajima, Modular representations of Abelian groups with regular rings of invariants, *Nagoya Math. J.* 86 (1982) 229–248.
- [10] H. Nakajima, Regular rings of invariants of unipotent groups, *J. Algebra* 85 (1983) 253–286.
- [11] D.R. Richman, On the invariants over finite fields, *Adv. Math.* 81 (1990) 30–65.
- [12] L. Smith, *Polynomial Invariants of Finite Groups*, A.K. Peters, 1995.
- [13] H. Weyl, *The Classical Groups*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1946.